## Interview Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/080,915

Harris et al.

Examiner

Lynne Gurley

Art Unit 2812

			2012	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):			
(1) Lynne Gurley	(3)			
(2) John M. Wechkin				
Date of Interview May 14, 2003				
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferenc c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applic	e ant 2)□ applicant's	representative	]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-56</u>				
Identification of prior art discussed:  Not Applicable.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) X was reac	hed. g) was not re			
Substance of Interview including description of the gen any other comments:				
A restriction requirement was made by telephone, rega	rding two groups of cla	aims: Group I,	claims 33-56,	drawn to a
method for processing microelectronic workpieces; and microelectronic workpieces. Applicant's representative	e elected, by telephone	the Group (1)	apparatus for pi	ocessing
	The state of the s			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	nendments which the ex no copy of the amendm	xaminer agree nents that wou	d would render uld render the c	the claims laims allowable is
i) $\square$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	eparate record of the su	ubstance of the	e interview (if b	ox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FO INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Re	RMAL WRITTEN REPLY MPEP section 713.04).	TO THE LAS	T OFFICE ACT	ON MUST
		PAT	NNE GURLEY ENT EXAMINE This 28/	ER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's s	ignature, if req	uired
Patent and Trademark Office				